Kant's Critique of Judgement

Immanuel Kant

MUNDO DE LIBROS

Vista previa gratuita · Mundo de Libros · tiendamundodelibros.com

Kant's Critique of Judgement

Immanuel Kant

Edición digital de dominio público.
Texto original: Project Gutenberg.
Mundo de Libros

Transcriber's note: Boldface text is enclosed in =equals signs=; italicized text is enclosed in _underscores_. Other notes will be found at the end of this eBook.

KANT’S CRITIQUE OF JUDGEMENT

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
LONDON · BOMBAY · CALCUTTA
MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK · BOSTON · CHICAGO
DALLAS · SAN FRANCISCO

THE MACMILLAN CO. OF CANADA, LTD.
TORONTO

KANT’S CRITIQUE OF JUDGEMENT

TRANSLATED WITH INTRODUCTION AND NOTES

BY

J. H. BERNARD, D.D., D.C.L. BISHOP OF OSSORY

SOMETIME FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, AND ARCHBISHOP KING’S PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY IN THE

UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN

SECOND EDITION, REVISED

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON
1914

COPYRIGHT

First Edition 1892 _Second Edition 1914_

CONTENTS

PAGE EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION xi

PREFACE 1

INTRODUCTION 7

I. Of the division of Philosophy 7

II. Of the realm of Philosophy in general 11

III. Of the Critique of Judgement as a means of combining the two parts of Philosophy into a whole 14

IV. Of Judgement as a faculty legislating _a priori_ 17

V. The principle of the formal purposiveness of nature is a transcendental principle of Judgement 20

VI. Of the combination of the feeling of pleasure with the concept of the purposiveness of nature 27

VII. Of the aesthetical representation of the purposiveness of nature 30

VIII. Of the logical representation of the purposiveness of nature 35

IX. Of the connexion of the legislation of Understanding with that of Reason by means of the Judgement 39

FIRST PART.--CRITIQUE OF THE AESTHETICAL JUDGEMENT 43

=First Division.=--Analytic of the Aesthetical Judgement 45

First Book.--Analytic of the Beautiful 45

First Moment of the judgement of taste, according to quality 45

§ 1. The judgement of taste is aesthetical 45

§ 2. The satisfaction which determines the judgement of taste is

disinterested 46

§ 3. The satisfaction in the pleasant is bound up with interest 48

§ 4. The satisfaction in the good is bound up with interest 50

§ 5. Comparison of the three specifically different kinds of satisfaction 53

Second Moment of the judgement of taste, viz. according to quantity 55

§ 6. The Beautiful is that which apart from concepts is represented as the object of a universal satisfaction 55

§ 7. Comparison of the Beautiful with the Pleasant and the Good by means of the above characteristic 57

§ 8. The universality of the satisfaction is represented in a judgement of Taste only as subjective 59

§ 9. Investigation of the question whether in a judgement of taste the feeling of pleasure precedes or follows the judging of the object 63

Third Moment of judgements of taste according to the relation of the purposes which are brought into consideration therein 67

§ 10. Of purposiveness in general 67

§ 11. The judgement of taste has nothing at its basis but the form of the purposiveness of an object (or of its mode of representation) 69

§ 12. The judgement of taste rests on _a priori_ grounds 70

§ 13. The pure judgement of taste is independent of charm and

emotion 72

§ 14. Elucidation by means of examples 73

§ 15. The judgement of taste is quite independent of the concept of perfection 77

§ 16. The judgement of taste, by which an object is declared to be beautiful under the condition of a definite concept, is not pure 81

§ 17. Of the Ideal of Beauty 84

Fourth Moment of the judgement of taste, according to the modality of the satisfaction in the object 91

§ 18. What the modality in a judgement of taste is 91

§ 19. The subjective necessity which we ascribe to the judgement of taste is conditioned 92

§ 20. The condition of necessity which a judgement of taste asserts is the Idea of a common sense 92

§ 21. Have we ground for presupposing a common sense? 93

§ 22. The necessity of the universal agreement that is thought in a judgement of taste is a subjective necessity, which is represented as objective under the presupposition of a common sense 94

General remark on the first section of the Analytic 96

Second Book.--Analytic of the Sublime 101

§ 23. Transition from the faculty which judges of the Beautiful to that which judges of the Sublime 101

§ 24. Of the divisions of an investigation into the feeling of the Sublime 105

A.--Of the Mathematically Sublime 106

§ 25. Explanation of the term “Sublime” 106

§ 26. Of that estimation of the magnitude of natural things which is requisite for the Idea of the Sublime 110

§ 27. Of the quality of the satisfaction in our judgements upon the Sublime 119

B.--Of the Dynamically Sublime in Nature 123

§ 28. Of Nature regarded as Might 123

§ 29. Of the modality of the judgement upon the sublime in nature 130

General remark upon the exposition of the aesthetical reflective Judgement 132

Deduction of [pure] aesthetical judgements 150

§ 30. The Deduction of aesthetical judgements on the objects of nature must not be directed to what we call Sublime in nature, but only to the Beautiful 150

§ 31. Of the method of deduction of judgements of taste 152

§ 32. First peculiarity of the judgement of taste 154

§ 33. Second peculiarity of the judgement of taste 157

§ 34. There is no objective principle of taste possible 159

§ 35. The principle of Taste is the subjective principle of Judgement

in general 161

§ 36. Of the problem of a Deduction of judgements of Taste 162

§ 37. What is properly asserted _a priori_ of an object in a judgement of taste 164

§ 38. Deduction of judgements of taste 165

§ 39. Of the communicability of a sensation 167

§ 40. Of taste as a kind of _sensus communis_ 169

§ 41. Of the empirical interest in the Beautiful 173

§ 42. Of the intellectual interest in the Beautiful 176

§ 43. Of Art in general 183

§ 44. Of beautiful Art 185

§ 45. Beautiful art is an art in so far as it seems like nature 187

§ 46. Beautiful art is the art of genius 188

§ 47. Elucidation and confirmation of the above explanation of Genius 190

§ 48. Of the relation of Genius to Taste 193

§ 49. Of the faculties of the mind that constitute Genius 197

§ 50. Of the combination of Taste with Genius in the products of beautiful Art 205

§ 51. Of the division of the beautiful arts 206

§ 52. Of the combination of beautiful arts in one and the same product 214

§ 53. Comparison of the respective aesthetical worth of the beautiful arts 215

§ 54. Remark 220

=Second Division.=--Dialectic of the Aesthetical Judgement 229

§ 55. 229

§ 56. Representation of the antinomy of Taste 230

§ 57. Solution of the antinomy of Taste 231

§ 58. Of the Idealism of the purposiveness of both Nature and Art as the unique principle of the aesthetical Judgement 241

§ 59. Of Beauty as the symbol of Morality 248

§ 60. =Appendix=:--Of the method of Taste 253

SECOND PART.--CRITIQUE OF THE TELEOLOGICAL JUDGEMENT 257

§ 61. Of the objective purposiveness of Nature 259

=First Division.=--Analytic of the Teleological Judgement 262

§ 62. Of the objective purposiveness which is merely formal as distinguished from that which is material 262

§ 63. Of the relative, as distinguished from the inner, purposiveness of nature 268

§ 64. Of the peculiar character of things as natural purposes 272

§ 65. Things regarded as natural purposes are organised beings 275

Hasta aqui llega la vista previa gratuita.

Sigue leyendo este libro completo en tu biblioteca:

compralo por $20 MXN o leelo con la Membresia ($149 / 30 dias).

tiendamundodelibros.com